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v3dv checkpoints

- [Aug 20] Minimal Vulkan 1.0 feature set
- [Nov 20] Vulkan 1.0 conformant
- [Nov 20] Started to test on real world apps
- [Dec 20] Started to work on performance
- [Dec 21] Vulkan 1.1 conformant
- [Jul 22] Vulkan 1.2 conformant
Performance work

- Driven initially by native Unreal Engine 4 samples
  - Generally GPU limited
  - Very expensive shading
- Focus on backend shader code optimizations
  - OpenGL/ES driver also benefited
Performance work – UE4 samples
Performance work

• Process:
  – Capture generated shader code
  – Identify non optimal code traces
  – Figure out how that code is generated
  – Design & Implement optimizations
  – Verify results:
    • shader-db + GFXReconstruct/apitrace + manual testing
GFXReconstruct/apitrace

- GFXReconstruct/apitrace
GFXReconstruct/apitrace

- Two main uses:
  - Visual checking for artifacts
  - Performance comparison based on final FPS

- Automatized through an script
  - Run all traces from a directory
  - Can pass a list of mesa commits for comparisons
  - Several additional parameters
Pros

• Visual checking easier
  – CI already includes checking for a small amount of frames, but not enough
  – More practical that needing to fake play games

• FPS easy to understand, gave clear values on the early stages of performance work
Cons

- FPS unreliable, already known as a flawed performance metric
- Right now each hypothetical performance improvement has a small individual impact
  - At this moment it is used as a sanity check
Contact

- IRC: #videocore@OFTC
- Mailing list: mesa-devel@freedesktop.org
- Gitlab: https://gitlab.freedesktop.org/mesa/mesa
- Blogs:
  - https://blogs.igalia.com/itoral
  - https://blogs.igalia.com/apinheiro
Q&A
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