Improving the World's Slowest Raytracer

Friedrich Vock

October 17, 2023

Contents

- Raytracing: A Quick Recap
 - Acceleration Structures
 - Raytracing Pipelines
- Implementing Acceleration Structures
 - LBVH
 - PLOC
- Implementing Raytracing Pipelines
- Status And What's To Come
 - Where We Are
 - Where We're Going

Raytracing: A Quick Recap



Acceleration Structures

- Opaque from the app's POV
- Contains scene geometry in format understood by ray accelerator HW
- Built by the driver, app provides "primitive soup"
- Three primitive types: Triangles, AABBs and instances of other BVHs
 - With AABB primitives, a shader can execute custom code to determine if a ray hits the surface
 - Vulkan defines two levels of acceleration structures: Top-Level and Bottom-Level
 - ▷ TLAS can only have BLAS instances as primitives
 - ▷ BLAS can only have triangles or AABBs as primitives

- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable

- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable

Ray Generation shader

- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable



- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable

- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable

- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable

- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable

- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable

- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable

- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable

- Special type of pipeline for performing raytracing
- New shader stages: Ray Generation, Any Hit, Intersection, Closest Hit, Miss, Callable

• Callable shaders can be called from RGen/CHit/Miss(/Callable)

Other RT Pipeline concepts

- Shader Binding Tables (SBTs)
 - More than one shader for each stage!
 - App tells the driver which shader to call with a Shader Binding Table
 - Jump Table on the GPU
- Pipeline Libraries
 - Incomplete pipelines that can be linked with other pipelines
 - Useful for e.g. moving commonly-used shaders into common library
 - $\,\triangleright\,\,$ Pipelines using these shaders only need to link to the previously-created library

Implementing Acceleration Structures

- RADV implements acceleration structures as BVHs
 - Tree of AABBs and triangles
 - Internal nodes are AABBs, each leaf node represents a primitive
 - A node's AABB is the AABB of its child nodes
- Hardware format is BVH4
 - Each internal node can have up to 4 child nodes

Node ID packing:

- Node offset in BVH / 8
- 3 LSBs used for node type

struct radv_bvh_box32_node {
 uint32_t children[4];
 radv_aabb coords[4];
 uint32_t reserved[4];
};

```
struct radv_bvh_triangle_node {
  float coords[3][3];
  uint32_t reserved[3];
  uint32_t triangle_id;
  /* flags in upper 4 bits */
  uint32_t geometry_id_and_flags;
  uint32_t reserved2;
  uint32_t id;
};
```

- Building acceleration structures is a multi-step process
 - 1. Convert input primitives to leaf nodes
 - 2. Sort nodes
 - 3. Construct binary BVH
 - 4. Convert binary BVH to BVH4
- Need barriers in between each step!
 - Bad for building many tiny acceleration structures
 - \circ $\,$ Vulkan allows for building multiple acceleration structures at a time

- Building acceleration structures is a multi-step process
 - 1. Convert input primitives to leaf nodes
 - 2. Sort nodes
 - 3. Construct binary BVH
 - 4. Convert binary BVH to BVH4
- Need barriers in between each step!
 - Bad for building many tiny acceleration structures
 - \circ $\,$ Vulkan allows for building multiple acceleration structures at a time

- Building acceleration structures is a multi-step process
 - 1. Convert input primitives to leaf nodes
 - 2. Sort nodes
 - 3. Construct binary BVH
 - 4. Convert binary BVH to BVH4
- Need barriers in between each step!
 - Bad for building many tiny acceleration structures
 - Vulkan allows for building multiple acceleration structures at a time
- This is what happens when you don't batch:

BVH Building Algorithms

Linear BVH

- Algorithm from 2014
- Builds a radix tree from generated morton codes
- Tree can be built up independently for each node
 - Great for parallelization!
- Used for quick builds

Parallel Locally-Ordered Clustering

- Algorithm from 2017
- Better quality than LBVH, though slower
- Original reference implementation was written in CUDA
- Heavily relies on device-wide synchronization
 - No global synchronization primitives available
 - Have to make our own!

The Poor Man's Global Synchronization

- All build shaders are written in Vulkan GLSL
- Compiler and target hardware is known
- Can assume more guarantees in certain aspects
 - Forward Progress
 - Launch ordering
- Work Stealing Queue
 - Each task receives a unique ID
 - Runtime-defined number of tasks to launch before synchronizing

Launching new tasks:

The Poor Man's Global Synchronization

- All build shaders are written in Vulkan GLSL
- Compiler and target hardware is known
- Can assume more guarantees in certain aspects
 - Forward Progress
 - Launch ordering
- Work Stealing Queue
 - Each task receives a unique ID
 - Runtime-defined number of tasks to launch before synchronizing

Launching new tasks:

```
taskID = atomicAdd(taskStartedCounter, 1);
```

}

The Poor Man's Global Synchronization

Making sure previous work has finished:

- Maintain a "tasks finished" counter and increment it when finished
- If the new work ID is larger than the maximum task ID to launch before synchronizing, busy-wait until the maximum task ID is updated
- The last task to finish updates the maximum task ID

```
finishID = atomicAdd(taskFinishCounter, 1);
if (finishID == maxTaskID) {
  atomicAdd(maxTaskID, nextNumberOfTasks);
} else {
  while (taskID > maxTaskID) {}
```

Totally sane BVH building code

```
controlBarrier(gl_ScopeWorkgroup, gl_ScopeDevice, gl_StorageSemanticsBuffer,
             gl SemanticsAcquireRelease | gl SemanticsMakeAvailable | gl SemanticsMakeVisible);
if (g] LocalInvocationIndex == 0) {
  if (did work)
     atomicAdd(DEREF(header), sync data, task done counter, 1):
  global task index = atomicAdd(DEREF(header), sync data task started counter, 1):
  do f
     /* Perform a memory barrier to refresh the current phase's end counter, in case
      * another workgroup changed it. */
     memoryBarrier(gl_ScopeDevice, gl_StorageSemanticsBuffer,
                 gl SemanticsAcquireRelease | gl_SemanticsMakeAvailable | gl_SemanticsMakeVisible);
     /* The first invocation of the first workgroup in a new phase is responsible to initiate the
      * switch to a new phase. It is only possible to switch to a new phase if all tasks of the
      * previous phase have been completed. Switching to a new phase and incrementing the phase
      * end counter in turn notifies all invocations for that phase that it is safe to execute.
      */
     if (global_task_index == DEREF(header).sync_data.current_phase_end_counter &&
        DEREF(header).svnc_data.task_done_counter == DEREF(header).svnc_data.current_phase_end_counter) {
        if (DEREF(header), sync data, next phase exit flag != 0) {
          DEREF(header).sync_data.phase_index = TASK_INDEX_INVALID;
          memoryBarrier(gl_ScopeDevice, gl_StorageSemanticsBuffer,
                       gl SemanticsAcquireRelease | gl SemanticsMakeAvailable | gl SemanticsMakeVisible):
        } else {
          atomicAdd(DEREF(header).svnc_data.phase_index, 1);
          DEREF(header).sync_data.current_phase_start_counter = DEREF(header).sync_data.current_phase_end_counter:
          /* Ensure the changes to the phase index and start/end counter are visible for other
           * workgroup waiting in the loop. */
          memoryBarrier(gl_ScopeDevice, gl_StorageSemanticsBuffer.
                       gl_SemanticsAcquireRelease | gl_SemanticsMakeAvailable | gl_SemanticsMakeVisible);
          atomicAdd(DEREF(header).sync_data.current_phase_end_counter,
                   DIV ROUND UP(task count(header), gl WorkGroupSize.x));
        break:
     /* If other invocations have finished all nodes, break out: there is no work to do */
     if (DEREF(header), sync data, phase index == TASK INDEX INVALID) {
        break.
```

Implementing Raytracing Pipelines

Hardware Acceleration Features on AMD

- Instruction for hardware-accelerated ray-BVH intersection: image_bvh_intersect_ray
 - \circ $\,$ Calculates intersection results for a single BVH node
 - Returns child nodes sorted by intersection distance for internal AABB nodes
 - Returns intersection results (incl. barycentrics for triangles) for leaf nodes
 - \circ $\,$ New in RDNA3: Ray flag handling and more box sorting modes $\,$
- New in RDNA3: Instruction for LDS traversal stack: ds_bvh_stack_rtn
 - Optimization for stack handling
 - Not used in RADV (yet)

Hardware Acceleration Features on AMD

- Instruction for hardware-accelerated ray-BVH intersection: image_bvh_intersect_ray
 - Calculates intersection results for a single BVH node
 - Returns child nodes sorted by intersection distance for internal AABB nodes
 - Returns intersection results (incl. barycentrics for triangles) for leaf nodes
 - New in RDNA3: Ray flag handling and more box sorting modes
- New in RDNA3: Instruction for LDS traversal stack: ds_bvh_stack_rtn
 - Optimization for stack handling
 - Not used in RADV (yet)
- All the rest: Implemented in software

Raytracing Pipelines

Raytracing Pipelines: The Hardware-Accelerated Part

- · Inline all raytracing shaders into a single compute shader
- Shaders are referred to by IDs
- Wrapped in a loop that continues executing shaders unless exit is requested

```
void main() {
  uint32_t shader_id = raygen_sbt[0];
  while (shader_id != 0) {
    switch (shader_id) {
        // paste all shader code in here
    }
  }
}
```

Problem: Raytracing pipelines can get big (2000+ shaders)

- Size of shaders caused stack overflows during passes that operated recursively on blocks
- Does not work well with pipeline libraries have to copy shader code around and defer actual compilation

"Monolithic" mode:

- All shaders are fully inlined
- No shader call overhead, potential for constant propagation
- No recursion, no support for pipeline libraries

```
void traceRay() // always inlined {
```

```
// paste traversal shader
```

if (hit)

```
// paste closest-hit shader;
```

else

```
// paste miss shader
```

}

```
void main() {
```

```
// paste raygen shader
```

}

"Separate Compilation" mode:

- Shader calls with indirect jump
- Allows shaders from pipeline libraries to be compiled ahead of time

}

Layout of a Traversal Shader

```
void main() {
 while (!traversal_complete) {
  uint32_t node_pointer = get_next_node();
   intersection_result result = image_bvh_intersect_ray(node_pointer);
   if (result.hit) {
    // paste any-hit/intersection shaders here
    if (!hit_accepted)
     continue:
   }
 if (hit)
  call closest hit():
 else
  call_miss();
```

Why not separately-compile the traversal shader?

- Ray Traversal has lots of live state
- Any-Hit/Intersection shaders are relatively small yet called often
- High shader call overhead, negatively impacts performance

- Jump execution to arbitrary addresses stored in SBT
- Each invocation in a wavefront may have a different address
- Only one program counter per wavefront
- Naive solution: Choose program counter of first valid invocation
- Guard shader invocations to prevent invocations from executing wrong shaders
- Problem: Reconvergent shader calls become inefficient!

Invocation 1

- Jump execution to arbitrary addresses stored in SBT
- Each invocation in a wavefront may have a different address
- Only one program counter per wavefront
- Naive solution: Choose program counter of first valid invocation
- Guard shader invocations to prevent invocations from executing wrong shaders
- Problem: Reconvergent shader calls become inefficient!

- Jump execution to arbitrary addresses stored in SBT
- Each invocation in a wavefront may have a different address
- Only one program counter per wavefront
- Naive solution: Choose program counter of first valid invocation
- Guard shader invocations to prevent invocations from executing wrong shaders
- Problem: Reconvergent shader calls become inefficient!

- Jump execution to arbitrary addresses stored in SBT
- Each invocation in a wavefront may have a different address
- Only one program counter per wavefront
- Naive solution: Choose program counter of first valid invocation
- Guard shader invocations to prevent invocations from executing wrong shaders
- Problem: Reconvergent shader calls become inefficient!

- Jump execution to arbitrary addresses stored in SBT
- Each invocation in a wavefront may have a different address
- Only one program counter per wavefront
- Naive solution: Choose program counter of first valid invocation
- Guard shader invocations to prevent invocations from executing wrong shaders
- Problem: Reconvergent shader calls become inefficient!

Invocation 1

Invocation 2

- Jump execution to arbitrary addresses stored in SBT
- Each invocation in a wavefront may have a different address
- Only one program counter per wavefront
- Naive solution: Choose program counter of first valid invocation
- Guard shader invocations to prevent invocations from executing wrong shaders
- Problem: Reconvergent shader calls become inefficient!

- Jump execution to arbitrary addresses stored in SBT
- Each invocation in a wavefront may have a different address
- Only one program counter per wavefront
- Naive solution: Choose program counter of first valid invocation
- Guard shader invocations to prevent invocations from executing wrong shaders
- Problem: Reconvergent shader calls become inefficient!

- Solution: Select shader based on type
- · Certain shader types will always be preferred over others
- Prefer Hit/Miss over Traversal shaders
- Execute Raygen only when no other shaders are available
- Shader type is packed into the 2 LSBs of the shader's address

- Solution: Select shader based on type
- · Certain shader types will always be preferred over others
- Prefer Hit/Miss over Traversal shaders
- Execute Raygen only when no other shaders are available
- Shader type is packed into the 2 LSBs of the shader's address

- Solution: Select shader based on type
- Certain shader types will always be preferred over others
- Prefer Hit/Miss over Traversal shaders
- Execute Raygen only when no other shaders are available
- Shader type is packed into the 2 LSBs of the shader's address

- Solution: Select shader based on type
- Certain shader types will always be preferred over others
- Prefer Hit/Miss over Traversal shaders
- Execute Raygen only when no other shaders are available
- Shader type is packed into the 2 LSBs of the shader's address

- Solution: Select shader based on type
- Certain shader types will always be preferred over others
- Prefer Hit/Miss over Traversal shaders
- Execute Raygen only when no other shaders are available
- Shader type is packed into the 2 LSBs of the shader's address

Status And What's To Come

Compatibility

XDC 2022:

- Quake II RTX
- Control
- Deathloop
- Resident Evil: Village
- Metro Exodus Enhanced Edition

Compatibility

XDC 2022:

- Quake II RTX
- Control
- Deathloop
- Resident Evil: Village
- Metro Exodus Enhanced Edition

XDC 2023:

- General expectation is that new stuff works
- Some known issues (Witcher 3, Cyberpunk 2077 can hang currently)
- Something else broken?
 - https://gitlab.freedesktop.org/mesa/mesa/-/issues/new

Performance

Raytracing Reflections Sample (4K, 6700 XT)

Future Work

- Make remaining games work
- Make remaining games work fast
- Explore more sophisticated shader call techniques
 - Shader Execution Reordering?
- Make the monolithic path work with pipeline libraries
- Take advantage of RDNA3 features
- Build higher quality BVHs
- AS Updates
- Tons of microoptimizations

Thank You!

Questions?

